

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Applicant No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/178,396		MORIN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Christopher C. Pratt		1771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christopher C. Pratt. (3) Brian Morin  
 (2) Timothy Monahan. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 25 July 2002.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: All generally.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yahiaoui et al (5814567) and Zeidell (3902299).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Applicant explained that to achieve the particle release count claimed that a wipe must be subjected to an extensive cleanroom laundering process. ~~such a process~~ Applicant argued that said property could not be achieved by Zeidell's process. Applicant also explained that coated fabrics have not been subjected to cleanroom laundering processes b/c the coating would be removed. The examiner suggested providing experimental evidence showing that Zeidell's process can not achieve applicants claimed property. The examiner also suggested providing further arguments showing unexpected results and long felt need.*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/178,396	MORIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christopher C. Pratt	1771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher C. Pratt. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Timothy Monahan. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 July 2002.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All generally.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yahiaoui et al (5814567) and Zeidell (3902299).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required